



مدينة زويل للعلوم والتكنولوجيا

Space and Communications Engineering - Autonomous Vehicles Design and Control - Fall 2016

State Estimation-II

Lecture 6 – Thursday November 10, 2016

Objectives

When you have finished this lecture you should be able to:

- Understand Kalman filter and its roles in state estimation.
- Understand Markov process and Markov models.

Outline

- Kalman Filters
- Markov Models
- Summary

Outline

• <u>Kalman Filters</u>

- Markov Models
- Summary



- In 1960, R.E. Kalman published his famous paper describing a recursive solution to the discrete data linear filtering problem.
- This recursive algorithm is known as the Kalman Filter (KF) and it is used to generate optimal estimate of the states of a system from a series of incomplete and noisy measurements. For linear system and white Gaussian noise, Kalman filter is best estimate.
- There are many **applications** for Kalman Filters:
 - \diamond Noise filtration
 - ♦ Tracking objects
 - Navigation of aircrafts and vehicles
 - ♦ Computer Vision applications,



Rudolf Emil Kálmán (1930-) Hungarian-American electrical engineer, mathematical system theorist, and college professor



• KF Algorithm

A linear system can be described using two equations:

• State Equation: $x_t = A_t x_{t-1} + B_t u_{t-1} + w_{t-1}$

where: $x_t \Rightarrow$ state $A_t \Rightarrow$ state transition matrix $u_t \Rightarrow$ control variable(s) $B_t \Rightarrow$ control matrix $w_t \Rightarrow$ process noise

• Measurement Equation: $z_t = C_t x_t + v_t$

where: $z_t \Rightarrow$ measurement $v_t \Rightarrow$ measurement noise $C_t \Rightarrow$ measurement matrix

• KF Algorithm

- The equations of the Kalman Filter are divided into two main groups:
 - Prediction Equations :
 - This is called the 'prediction' stage.
 - It projects forward in time the current state to get a priori estimates for the next time step.

Correction Equations :

- This is called the 'correction' stage
- It is responsible for the feedback.
- It incorporates a new measurement into the a priori estimate to obtained an improved a posteriori estimate.



- KF Algorithm
 - **Oredictor Equations**



Subscripts are as follows:

- t|t represents the current time period,
- t-1|t-1 previous time period
- t|t-1 are intermediate steps.



time *t-1*

L6, SPC418: Autonomous Vehicles Design and Control-Zewail City of Science and Technology - Fall 2016 © Dr. Alaa Khamis

Projecting Error

Covariance from time *t*-1

to *t*

Matrix

- KF Algorithm
 - **\diamond** Corrector Equations



L6, SPC418: Autonomous Vehicles Design and Control- Zewail City of Science and Technology - Fall 2016 © Dr. Alaa Khamis

KF Algorithm



CORRECTION

Compute Kalman Gain

$$K_{t} = P_{t|t-1}C_{t}^{T}(C_{t}P_{t|t-1}C_{t}^{T} + R_{t})^{-1}$$

Update estimate with measurement z_t

$$\hat{x}_{t|t} = \hat{x}_{t|t-1} + K_t(z_t - C_t \hat{x}_{t|t-1})$$

Update error covariance

$$P_{t|t} = P_{t|t-1} - K_t C_t P_{t|t-1}$$

L6, SPC418: Autonomous Vehicles Design and Control- Zewail City of Science and Technology - Fall 2016 © Dr. Alaa Khamis

KF Algorithm



Example: Mobile robots are equipped with various sensor types for measuring distances to the nearest obstacle around the robot for navigation purposes. These sensors include **Sonar** based on **(Sound Navigation & Ranging)** Sensors, **Laser** Sensors based on **LIDAR (LIght Direction And Ranging)** and *Infrared* Sensors based on **LADAR (RAdio Direction And Ranging)**.

- Assume that a **noisy sonar sensor** is used to estimate the distance from the robot to an obstacle.
- Assume that the distance is static and theoretically **L=100 cm**.



Model the state process

The **state variable** \hat{x}_t of the system is the distance to the obstacle.

Since it is a constant model, therefore, A_t is **1** for all time *t*. The input of the system u_t and matrix B_t are zero.

$$\hat{x}_{t|t-1} = A_t \hat{x}_{t-1|t-1} + B_t u_t$$
$$\hat{x}_{t|t-1} = \hat{x}_{t-1|t-1}$$

- Model the measurement process
 - ♦ In this model, the z_t represents the **distance** to the obstacle as measured by the sensors.
 - ♦ It is assumed the measurement is exactly the **same scale** as the estimate \hat{x}_t and so C_t is 1.

$$z_t = C_t x_t$$
$$z_t = x_t$$

Model the noise

♦ For this model, we are going to assume that there is a **Gaussian** white noise from the measurement which has a standard deviation of 0.5 cm. Therefore, $R_t = r = 0.25cm^2$

♦ The **process noise** is assumed to have a standard deviation of 0.01 cm, therefore, $Q_t = q = 0.0001 cm^2$

Predict equations:

$$\hat{x}_{t|t-1} = \hat{x}_{t-1|t-1}$$
 $P_{t|t-1} = P_{t-1|t-1} + 0.0001$

• Update equations:

$$K_{t} = P_{t|t-1} (P_{t|t-1} + 0.25)^{-1} \qquad \hat{x}_{t|t} = \hat{x}_{t|t-1} + K_{t} (z_{t} - \hat{x}_{t|t-1})$$

$$P_{t|t} = P_{t|t-1} - K_t P_{t|t-1}$$

• Initialization:

$$\hat{x}_0 = 0$$
 $\hat{P}_0 = 1000$

Measurements:

$$z_1 = 99.17cm$$
 $z_2 = 100.60cm$
 $z_3 = 100.12cm$ $z_4 = 99.61cm$

• 1st Iteration

$$\hat{x}_{1|0} = \hat{x}_{0|0} = 0$$

 $P_{1|0} = P_{0|0} + 0.0001 = 1000 + 0.0001 = 1000.0001$

 $K_1 = P_{1|0}(P_{1|0} + 0.25)^{-1} = 1000.0001(1000.0001 + 0.25)^{-1} = 0.9998$

$$\hat{x}_{1|1} = \hat{x}_{1|0} + K_1(z_1 - \hat{x}_{1|0})$$

= 0 + 0.9998(99.17 - 0) = 99.15

 $P_{1|1} = P_{1|0} - K_1 P_{1|0} = 1000.0001 - 0.9998(1000.0001) = 0.2$

• 2nd Iteration

$$\hat{x}_{2|1} = \hat{x}_{1|1} = 99.15$$

 $P_{2|1} = P_{1|1} + 0.0001 = 0.2 + 0.0001 = 0.2001$

$$K_2 = P_{2|1}(P_{2|1} + 0.25)^{-1} = 0.2001(0.2001 + 0.25)^{-1} = 0.4446$$

$$\hat{x}_{2|2} = \hat{x}_{2|1} + K_2(z_2 - \hat{x}_{2|1})$$

= 99.15 + 0.4446(100.60 - 99.15) = 99.79

 $P_{2|2} = P_{2|1} - K_2 P_{2|1} = 0.2001 - 0.4446(0.2001) = 0.1111$

• 3rd Iteration

$$\hat{x}_{3|2} = \hat{x}_{2|2} = 99.79$$

 $P_{3|2} = P_{2|2} + 0.0001 = 0.1111 + 0.0001 = 0.1112$

$$K_3 = P_{3|2}(P_{3|2} + 0.25)^{-1} = 0.1112(0.1112 + 0.25)^{-1} = 0.3079$$

$$\hat{x}_{3|3} = \hat{x}_{3|2} + K_3(z_3 - \hat{x}_{3|2})$$

= 99.79 + 0.3079(100.12 - 99.79) = 99.89

$$P_{3|3} = P_{3|2} - K_3 P_{3|2} = 0.1112 - 0.3079(0.1112) = 0.0770$$

• 4th Iteration

$$\hat{x}_{4|3} = \hat{x}_{3|3} = 99.89$$

$$P_{4|3} = P_{3|3} + 0.0001 = 0.077 + 0.0001 = 0.0771$$

$$\begin{split} K_4 &= P_{4|3}(P_{4|3} + 0.25)^{-1} = 0.0771(0.0771 + 0.25)^{-1} = 0.2357\\ \hat{x}_{4|4} &= \hat{x}_{4|3} + K_4(z_4 - \hat{x}_{4|3})\\ &= 99.89 + 0.2357(99.61 - 99.89) = 99.82 \end{split}$$

$$P_{4|4} = P_{4|3} - K_4 P_{4|3} = 0.0771 - 0.2357(0.0771) = 0.0589$$

Iteration	Measurements	State	Covariance	Gain
0	_	0	1000	0
1	99.17	99.15	0.2	0.9998
2	100.60	99.79	0.1111	0.4446
3	100.12	99.80	0.0770	0.3070
4	99.61	99.82	0.0589	0.2357



Measurement noise = 0.5 cm

Measurement noise = 2 cm



Process noise = 0.01 cm

Process noise = 0.5 cm

- What if our system is a **non-linear** system?!
 - Such as having a constant distance to the obstacle but the obstacle is not steady, it is "vibrating".
 - ♦ The vibration can be modeled as a sine wave with equation $L = c \sin(2\pi r\Delta t) + l$
- In this case, we will have to use a non-linear estimator such as the **Extended Kalman Filter** (EKF).

For more information:

[1] F. Gustafsson, F. Gunnarsson, N. Bergman, U. Forsell, J. Janson, R. Karlsson and P. Nordlund, "Particle Filters for Positioning, Navigation and Tracking," in IEEE Transactions on Signal Processing.

[2] F. Germain and T. Skordas, "A Computer Vision Method for Motion Detection using Cooperative Kalman Filters".

See papers on the course website.

L6, SPC418: Autonomous Vehicles Design and Control- Zewail City of Science and Technology - Fall 2016 © Dr. Alaa Khamis

Outline

- Kalman Filters
- <u>Markov Models</u>
- Summary

Markov Property

If the random process is characterized as **memoryless**: the next state depends only on the current state and not on the sequence of events that preceded it. This specific kind of "**memorylessness**" is called the **Markov property**.

Future is independent of the past given the present

However, past can be used for learning or prediction



Markov Property

Markov Property: The state of the system at time *t*+*1*

depends only on the state of the system at time *t*.

$$P[X_{t+1} = x_{t+1} | X_t = x_t, X_{t-1} = x_{t-1}, \dots, X_1 = x_1, X_0 = x_0] = P[X_{t+1} = x_{t+1} | X_t = x_t]$$



First order dependencies

- Markov Property
 - Higher order models remember more "history"
 - Additional history can have predictive value

A Example: A

Predict the next word in this sentence fragment
 "... the__" (duck, end, grain, tide, wall, ...?)

• Now predict it given more history

- "... against the___" (duck, end, <u>grain</u>, <u>tide</u>, <u>wall</u>, ...?)
- Now predict it given more history
 "det "swim against the___" (duck, end, grain, <u>tide</u>, wall, ...?)

- Markov Properties-I: Limited horizon
 - ♦ The probability that we're in state *s_i* at time *t+1* only depends on where we were at time *t*:

$$P(X_{t+1} = s_i \mid X_1 ... X_t) = P(X_{t+1} = s_i \mid X_t)$$

Given this assumption, the probability of any sequence is just:

$$P(X_1,...,X_T) = \prod_{i=1}^T P(X_i \mid X_{i-1})$$

 Markov Property-II: Stationary Assumption
 Probabilities are independent of *t* when process is "stationary" so,

for all t,
$$P[X_{t+1} = X_j | X_t = X_i] = p_{ij}$$

This means that if system is in **state** *i*, the probability that the system will next move to **state** *j* is p_{ij} , no matter what the value of *t* is.

The **probability** of being in state *s_i* given the previous state **does not change over time**.

Weather Predictor Example

Assume that once a day (e.g. in the morning), the weather is observed as being one of the following:

- ♦ State 1: cloudy
- ♦ State 2: sunny
- ♦ State 3: rainy
- ♦ State 4: windy



[3]

34

Given the model, it is now possible to answer several interesting questions about the weather patterns over time.

Weather Predictor Example
 What is the probability to get the
 sequence "sunny, rainy, sunny,
 windy, cloudy, cloudy" in six
 consecutive days?

O={sunny, rainy, sunny, windy, cloudy, cloudy)={2,3,2,4,1,1}



Markov model

$$(O|A, \pi) = P(2,3,2,4,1,1|A,\pi)$$

= $P(2)P(3|2)P(2|3)P(4|2)P(1|4)P(1|1)$
= π_{x2} . a_{23} . a_{32} . a_{24} . a_{41} . a_{11}

where A and π are transition matrix and initial state respectively.

Weather Predictor Example In a general case, this calculation of the probability for a state sequence X={x₁,x₂,...,x_T) will be:

 $P(X|A,\pi) = \pi_{x_1} a_{x_1x_2} a_{x_2x_3} \dots a_{x_{T-1}x_T}$



Weather Predictor Example

Given that the weather on **day 1 is sunny**, what is the probability (according to the model) that the weather for the next 6 days will be

"sunny-sunny-rainy-cloudycloudy-sunny"

Given:

$$A = \{a_{ij}\} = \begin{bmatrix} 0.4 & 0.3 & 0.3 \\ 0.2 & 0.6 & 0.2 \\ 0.1 & 0.1 & 0.8 \end{bmatrix}$$





Coke vs. Pepsi Example

Given that a person's last cola purchase was Coke, there is a 90% chance that his/her next cola purchase will also be Coke.

If that person's last cola purchase was Pepsi, there is an 80% chance that his/her next cola purchase will also be Pepsi.





Coke vs. Pepsi Example

Given that a person is currently a **Pepsi** purchaser, what is the probability that she will purchase **Coke two purchases** from now?

 $p(X|M) = p(X = \{P,C,C\}|M)$ = p(P) p(C|P)p(C|C)=1×0.2×0.9=0.18



Coke vs. Pepsi Example

Given that a person is currently a **Coke** drinker, what is the probability that she will purchase **Pepsi three purchases** from now?

 $p(X|M) = p(X = \{C,P,P,P\}|M)$ = p(C) p(P|C)p(P|P) p(P|P)=1×0.1×0.8×0.8=0.064



- A Markov model is a **probabilistic model** of symbol sequences in which the probability of the current event is conditioned only by the previous event.
- Markov Models

System*	System state is fully observable	System state is partially observable
System is autonomous	Markov Chain (MC)	Hidden Markov Model (HMM)
System is controlled	Markov Decision Process (MDP)	Partially Observable Markov Decision Process (POMDP)

*whether the system is to be adjusted on the basis of observations made.

L6, SPC418: Autonomous Vehicles Design and Control- Zewail City of Science and Technology - Fall 2016 © Dr. Alaa Khamis

Markov Chain

Markov chain is a "**memoryless** random process"



- Markov Chain: Formal Definition
 - ♦ A Markov Model is a triple (S, π , A) where:
 - **S** is the set of **states**
 - $-\pi$ are the **probabilities** of being initially in some state
 - A are the **transition probabilities**.

• Markov Chain: Economy Example The terms bull market and bear market describe upward and downward market trends, respectively. The states represent whether the economy is in a bull market, a bear market, or a recession, during a given week.

S={1=bull market,

2=bear market,

3=recession}



Statues of the two symbolic beasts of finance, the bear and the bull, in front of the Frankfurt Stock Exchange. [4]

Markov Chain: Economy Example

According to the figure, a **bull week** is followed by another bull week 90% of the time, a **bear market** 7.5% of the time, and a **recession** the other 2.5%.



• Markov Chain: Economy Example The transition matrix is

$$P = \begin{bmatrix} 0.9 & 0.075 & 0.025 \\ 0.15 & 0.8 & 0.05 \\ 0.25 & 0.25 & 0.5 \end{bmatrix}$$



 Markov Chain: Economy Example From this figure it is possible to .9 calculate, for example, the **long**term fraction of time during which the economy is in a **recession**, or on average **how long** it will take to go from a recession to a bull market.



• Markov Chain: Economy Example $\begin{bmatrix} 0.9 & 0.075 & 0.025 \\ 0.15 & 0.8 & 0.05 \\ 0.25 & 0.25 & 0.5 \end{bmatrix}$

We will regard bull market as 1, bear market as 2 and recession as 3.

 $0.9P_1 + 0.075P_2 + 0.025P_3 = P_1$ $0.15P_1 + 0.8P_2 + 0.05P_3 = P_2$ $0.25P_1 + 0.25P_2 + 0.5P_3 = P_3$

The steady-state probabilities indicate that **62.5%** of weeks will be in a **bull** market, **31.25%** of weeks will be in a **bear** market and **6.25%** of weeks will be in a **recession**.

Markov Chain: Economy Example

The distribution over states can be written as a stochastic row vector *x* with the relation $\mathbf{x}^{(n+1)} = \mathbf{x}^{(n)}\mathbf{P}$.

So if at time n the system is in state **2=bear** then **3 time** periods later at time n + 3 the distribution is

$$x^{(n+3)} = x^{(n+2)}P = (x^{(n+1)}P)P = (x^{(n)}P^2)P = x^{(n)}P^3$$
$$= \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 0.9 & 0.075 & 0.025 \\ 0.15 & 0.8 & 0.05 \\ 0.25 & 0.25 & 0.5 \end{bmatrix}^3$$
$$= \begin{bmatrix} 0.3575 & 0.56825 & 0.07425 \end{bmatrix}$$

Markov Chain: Landmine Detection

Suppose a landmine detection robot wants to predict the status of a cell in a minefield. The possible predictions are:

- Mine_free
- Surface_mine
- Buried_mine







Minesweepers: Towards a Landmine-free World:

http://www.landminefree.org/ [6]

Markov Chain: Landmine Detection

The robot predicts the next cell status based on the status of the previous cell.

- If the previous cells were minefree, the next cell is likelier to have surface or buried mine.
- How far back do we want to go to predict next cell's status?





- Markov Chain: Landmine Detection
 - Statistical Landmine Model
 - ♦ Notation:
 - S: the state space, a set of possible values for the cell: {mine_free, surface, buried}
 - *X*: a sequence of random variables, each taking a value from S
 - \boldsymbol{k} is an integer standing for cells, $k \in [1,N]$
 - ♦ $(X_1, X_2, X_3, ..., X_N)$ models the value of a series of random variables
 - each takes a value from **S** with a certain probability $P(X=s_i)$
 - the entire sequence tells us the status of cell over N cells.

- Markov Chain: Landmine Detection
 - Statistical Landmine Model
 - ♦ If we want to predict the **status of the cell** *k*+1, our model might look like this:

$$P(X_{k+1} = s_k \mid X_1 ... X_k)$$

- e.g. P(cell status = Buried_mine), conditional on the status of the past k cells.
- Problem: the larger k gets, the more calculations we have to make.

- Markov Chain: Landmine Detection
 - Concrete instantiation

Cell k	Cell k+1				
	Mine_free	Surface_mine	Buried_mine		
Mine_free	0.1	0.3	0.6		
Surface_mine	0.5	0.2	0.3		
Buried_mine	0.4	0.5	0.1		

- ♦ This is essentially a **transition matrix**, which gives us probabilities of going from one state to the other.
- We can denote state transition probabilities as a_{ij} (prob. of going from state *i* to state *j*).

- Markov Chain: Landmine Detection
 - Graphical View
 - Components of the model:
 - 1. states (s)
 - 2. transitions
 - 3. transition probabilities
 - 4. initial probability distribution for states

This is a **non-deterministic finite state automaton**.



- Markov Chain: Landmine Detection
 - If the cell (X_k) is Mine-free, what's the probability that the next cell (X_{k+1}) is Mine-free and the next cell after (X_{k+2}) is Buried-mine?

$$P(X_{k+1} = \text{Mine_free}, X_{k+2} = \text{Buried_mine} | X_k = \text{Mine_free})$$

$$Markov assumption$$

$$\uparrow \uparrow \\ X_k X_{k+1}$$

$$= P(X_{k+1} = \text{Mine_free} | X_k = \text{Mine_free}) \times P(X_{k+2} = \text{Buried_mine} | X_{k+1} = \text{Mine_free}, X_k = \text{Mine_free})$$

$$= P(X_{k+1} = \text{Mine_free} | X_k = \text{Mine_free}) \times P(X_{k+2} = \text{Buried_mine} | X_{k+1} = \text{Mine_free})$$

$$= 0.1 \times 0.6 = 0.06$$

- In all previous examples, we assume that the **state is fully observable**.
- Often we face scenarios where states cannot be directly observed.
- To handle partially observable state, we have to use an extension called **Hidden Markov Model (HMM).**

Outline

- Kalman Filters
- Markov Models
- <u>Summary</u>

Summary

- **Kalman filter** is an optimal estimator i.e infers parameters of interest from indirect, inaccurate and uncertain observations. It is recursive so that new measurements can be processed as they arrive. (cf batch processing where all data must be present). If all noise is Gaussian, the Kalman filter minimizes the mean square error of the estimated parameters. KF can be used for Robot Localization and Map building from range sensors/ beacons, determination of planet orbit parameters from limited earth observations and Tracking targets - eg aircraft, missiles using RADAR.
- A Markov model is a **probabilistic model** of symbol sequences in which the probability of the current event is conditioned only by the previous event.

References

- Christophe Couvreur. *Hidden Markov Models and Their Mixtures*.
 Diploma of Advanced Studies, Universitee Catholique de Louvain, 1996.
- 2. Wikipedia: http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Hidden Markov model
- 3. Mark Gales and Steve Young, "The Application of Hidden Markov Models in Speech Recognition", Foundations and Trends in Signal Processing, Vol. 1, No. 3 (2007) 195–304.
- 4. Steve Young, Dan Kershaw, Julian Odell, Dave Ollason, Valtcho Valtchev and Phil Woodland. *The HTK Book*. Version 3.1, Microsoft Corporation, 1999.
- 5. Victor Lavrenko and Nigel Goddard. Introductory Applied Machine Learning. University of Edinburgh, UK, 2011.